

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/773,534	02/	/02/2001	Ferdi Schuth	078096-0102 6297		
7590 06/29/2004				EXAMINER		
Stephen D. S	canion		ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A			
Jones Day North Point				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
901 Lakeside A	Avenue		2877			
Cleveland, Ol	H 44114		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/773,534	1	SCHUTH ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit					
		Richard A F	Rosenberger	2877	AN				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cov rsh t with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica- eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	'ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	ot, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 April 2004.							
2a) 🗌 🛚	Γhis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is no	n-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (									
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10) <u></u> ⊤	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(	s)		_						
2) D Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/773,534 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to require that the analyzing of the effluents be in parallel. Claim 6, indirectly dependent form claim 1, requires that the analyzing steps be carried out in sequence. This contradiction renders the claim, and what is intended to be claimed with this claim, unclear.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al (US 6,495,105) in view of Cong (US 6,087,181), Grynberg et al (US 4,492,862), and the acknowledged prior art in the instant specification.

Yamada et al shows creating reactions at a plurality of locations (6) on a substrate (41), obtaining, for each location, an effluent comprising a reaction

Application/Control Number: 09/773,534

Art Unit: 2877

product ("the formed gas", column 3, line 51, 57), which effluents are analyzed in parallel (by sensors 7).

Yamada et al does not teach photoacoustic analysis of the effluents, using a semiconductor type of detector, but is explicit that the analysis devices are "not limited to this type" (column 3, line 65), and explicitly mention an optical sensor may be used (column 4, line 5). Thus it would have been obvious to use any known method suitable for the detection and analysis of the gasses of interest in the effluent, including known photoacoustic detection methods..

The system of Cong, in a similar system, also has a substrate (28) with an array of catalysts (26) thereon within a reaction chamber. A starting material (a gas) is introduced into reaction chamber wherein an effluent comprising at least one reaction product and/or the starting material is produced. The effluent is analyzed by a "photothermal" method, which methods include photoacoustic methods (Cong, column 2, lines 7-8), which at least suggests using such well-known photoacoustic methods, as they are described by the reference as having been successfully used for trace gas detection (column 2, lines 9 and 10), and the instant specification, in the background of the invention section, notes that it is "one of the most sensitive and rapid optical analytical methods", referencing a prior art reference for that observation (the sentence bridging pages 1 and 2 of the instant specification).

Grynberg et al shows a known method which includes measuring an effluent with photoacoustic spectroscopy (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 16, and

Application/Control Number: 09/773,534

Art Unit: 2877

claim 59, step (a)). Both the acknowledged prior art and Grynberg et al teach that the photoacoustic method is a well-known method that can be used to detect gasses, and Grynberg et al in particular teaches that it can be used to measure an effluent from a process for monitoring that process.

Modulating monochromatic light from a laser and detecting the photoacoustic signal with a microphone is a standard photoacoustic configuration, as discussed in the instant specification.

The Yamada et al and Cong references show substrates with the catalysts thereon, the use of other known arrangements in which the catalysts can be supported and exposed to the starting material for the reaction would have been obvious; the instant specification notes the prior art use of tubes (page 2, lines 23-25 and page 2, lines 13-17) for this purpose. The use of such a system for any reaction of a fluid that can be catalyzed would have been obvious because the purpose of the system in to test such catalyzed reactions and the catalysts for the reactions.

In the system of Yamada et al, the detection stations are above the reaction areas; the obvious use of a photoacoustic detectors for the detection taught by that reference would thus place the microphones of the photoacoustic devices above the reaction areas.

5. Yamada and Cong both teach using a tube to remove the effluent gasses form the reaction areas and present it to the detection devices; Grynberg et al also

Art Unit: 2877

presents the tested gas to the measuring device though a tube. The instant disclosure includes an embodiment, shown in figure 3 and discussed in the paragraph bridging pages 22 and 23 of the specification, in which the effluents are photoacoustically measured "in place", with fewer microphones than sections and the signals from the different effluents being distinguished by the transit time of the sound waves. It would appear that claims directed to this embodiment with sufficient specificity would be allowable. Some of the instant claims appear to be direct broadly to this embodiment, claiming one or more microphones "above the substrate", although, as set forth above, the current claim language does not distinguish the location of the sensors shown in Yamada et al, which are above the substrate.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A Rosenberger whose telephone number is (571) 272-2428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during the hours of 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto-gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. A. Rosenberger 25 June 2004

> Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner